



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/301,811 04/28/99 HURST

J 242/044

ARENA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC
6166 NANCY RIDGE DR.
SAN DIEGO CA 92121

HM22/1109

EXAMINER

ALLEN, M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1631

DATE MAILED:

11/09/01

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/301,811	HURST ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marianne Allen	1631

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 August 2001.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,10-33 and 37-45 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 37-45 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,10-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed 8/27/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 37-45 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The elected claims are directed to a computer-based method for retrieving information. New claims 37-45 are directed to a database system. The database can be shown to be distinct from the method based upon its different classification (Class 707, subclass 100) and the necessity for non-coextensive literature searches. Note also that claim 37 defines the system as comprising two sets of information.

Applicant is reminded that data alone lacks utility because it is non-statutory. See at least MPEP 2106.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 37-45 withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 10, 12, 14, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schmitt (U.S. Patent No.5,983,220).

This rejection is maintained for reasons of record.

Even as amended, claim 10 encompasses retrieving information from a single database. Applicant appears to be arguing that the claims require joining of information from one database with information from a second database to form a new database or dataset related to the target item. The definition of a fuzzy similarity join in the specification does not appear to be limited to that interpretation, particularly in view of the claim limitations “similarity among entities in **one** or more databases” (emphasis added) and “fuzzy similarity join on the database... from the database” (note singular not plural).

Claims 1, 10-16, 19-20, 23-29, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cramer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,240,374).

This rejection is maintained for reasons of record and in view of the above remarks. Independent claim 23 has not been amended and continues to encompass a single database.

Claims 1, 10-16, 20, 23-28, and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grethe et al.

This rejection is maintained for reasons of record and in view of the above remarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 23-24 and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Grethe et al. or Cramer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,204,374) in view of Schmitt (U.S. Patent No. 5,983,220).

This rejection is maintained for reasons of record and in view of the above remarks.

Claims 10 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmitt.

This rejection is maintained for reasons of record and in view of the above remarks.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marianne P. Allen whose telephone number is 703-308-0666. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 703-308-4028. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Application/Control Number: 09/301,811
Art Unit: 1631

Page 5

Marianne P. Allen
Marianne P. Allen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1631

mpa
November 2, 2001